# ARGUMENT AND REBUTTAL FORM

ELECTION DATE: _March 3, 2020._ MEASURE I.D. (if any): _NOT ENUMERATED_

JURISDICTION: _City of Culver City_

(Please mark (x) in the appropriate box)

☐ Argument in Favor	☒ Argument Against
☐ Rebuttal to Argument Against	☐ Rebuttal to Argument in Favor

Statements will be printed in uniform type, style and spacing. Use block paragraphs and single space format. Text submitted indented or centered will be typeset in block paragraph form. **Entire statements in all capital letters are not acceptable. Indentations, circles, stars, dots, italics and/or bullets cannot be accommodated**. However, you may use dashes/hyphens. Words to be printed in **boldface type**, underscored and/or CAPITALIZED are to be clearly indicated. Any combinations of enhanced words are counted as one word. All statements should be checked by the authors for spelling and punctuation as the elections official is not permitted to edit any material contained therein. **NOTE**: Rebuttal arguments are not direct arguments. For example, a rebuttal to a direct argument in favor of a measure is NOT a direct argument against a measure. Please also note that rebuttal arguments are allowed only when both a direct argument for AND against a measure are filed.

ALL AUTHORS MUST SIGN ON THE REVERSE SIDE

Please attach typed statement to this form. Statements should be typed in upper and lower case letters. Statement will be typeset in the Official Sample Ballot Booklet using TIMES NEW ROMAN font in 11 point size. However, statements can be submitted using any standard font.

Steven Gourley

**LOS ANGELES COUNTY
REGISTRAR-RECORDER/COUNTY CLERK**

DECLARATION BY AUTHOR(S) OF ARGUMENTS OR REBUTTALS
(Elections Code Section 9600)

All arguments concerning measures filed pursuant to Division 9 of the Elections Code shall be accompanied by the following declaration to be signed by each author of the argument/rebuttal. Names and titles listed will be printed in the Voter Information portion of the Official Sample Ballot Booklet in the order provided below.

The undersigned author(s) of the:

☐ Argument in Favor
☐ Argument Against

☐ Rebuttal to Argument Against
☐ Rebuttal to Argument in Favor

of ballot measure _tax extension measure_ at the _Special municipal election_
                   (name and/or letter) (to be named)   (title of election)

election for the _City of Culver City_ to be held on

March 3, 2020 hereby state that such argument is true and correct to the
(date)

best of his/her/their knowledge and belief.

1.	STEVEN GOURLEY Printed Name Culver City Voter Title to Appear on Argument	*S. Gourley* Signature 12/13/2019 Date
2.	Printed Name Title to Appear on Argument	Signature Date
3.	Printed Name Title to Appear on Argument	Signature Date
4.	Printed Name Title to Appear on Argument	Signature Date
5.	Printed Name Title to Appear on Argument	Signature Date

IMPORTANT FILING INFORMATION: I, _____ am the designated filer of the above titled argument/rebuttal. Please notify me of any questions pertaining to this filing. Below is my contact information.
Mailing Address: _0757 STEPHON TERRACE_   E-Mail Address: _stevengourley@_ _la.rr.com_
Contact Numbers: _(310)540-5100_ _(310)916.6274_ _NONE_
                    Daytime   Evening   Fax

OFFICE USE ONLY

Time Stamp

Word Counts

NUMBER OF WORDS:	
PROJECT CODE NUMBER:	
ELECTION DEPUTY:	

RF53 A&R June2019

You should not let politicians you don't trust raise your taxes.

Two years ago the City Government begged us to raise our taxes by $4.9 million a year. It was "temporary" until the City solved the crisis. Now they want to extend those taxes forever. What has the City done to solve its money problems?

In 2016, the top five city employees made a total of $2,175,105 annually. Now, they make $2,201, 329.

Last year, the City Council enacted rent control which will cost hundreds of thousands of City tax dollars a year to administer and litigate.

A majority of the Council wants to buy and install prefabricated housing units which look like outhouses with windows. They cost at least $15,000 each. At the October 18, 2019 meeting, the majority of the council thought building this homeless slum with TAXPAYER money was a great idea! They were only interested in how many of these units they could crowd into Veterans' Park. The City has budgeted $23 million so the homeless can live in Culver City.

Also on October 18, 2019, the Council majority (except Councilman Erikkson) attempted to create an "Equity" commission which would "hear" race complaints made by anyone (including non-residents) against city employees (including police and fire). The Council wanted to allow illegal aliens, felons, and 16-year-olds to serve on the Commission. Culver City's legal and administrative costs for this racial Kangaroo Court would have been staggering. The City has already appointed an "Equity and Human Relations Manager" who will assuredly cost the City over $150,000 annually.

I don't trust this City Council enough to let them increase my taxes. Do you?

Vote No on Measure __.

Steven Gourley

Culver City Voter