



ARGUMENT AND REBUTTAL FORM

RECEIVED

ELECTION DATE:

November 8, 2022

MEASURE NO. (if any)

Resolution 2022-R059

2022 AUG 19 PM 2:33

JURISDICTION:

Culver City, CA

CITY CLERK

CITY OF CULVER CITY

(Please mark (x) in the appropriate box)

<input type="checkbox"/> Argument in Favor	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Argument Against
<input type="checkbox"/> Rebuttal to Argument Against	<input type="checkbox"/> Rebuttal to Argument in Favor

Statements will be printed in uniform type, style and spacing according to the County's system requirements. When preparing your statement, please use block paragraphs and single space format. Text submitted indented or centered will be typeset in block paragraph form. **Entire statements in all capital letters, bold and italics (or any combination of enhancements) are not acceptable. Indentations cannot be accommodated.** Words to be printed in **boldface type**, underscored and/or CAPITALIZED are to be clearly indicated. All statements should be checked by the authors for spelling and punctuation as the elections official is not permitted to edit any material contained therein. **NOTE:** Rebuttal arguments are not direct arguments. For example, a rebuttal to a direct argument in favor of a measure is NOT a direct argument against a measure. Please also note that rebuttal arguments are allowed only when both a direct argument for AND against a measure are filed.

ALL AUTHORS MUST SIGN ON THE REVERSE SIDE

Please attach typed statement to this form. Statements should be typed in upper and lower case letters. Statement will be typeset in the Official Sample Ballot Booklet using a standard font and size determined by the County. However, statements can be submitted using any standard font.

DECLARATION BY AUTHOR(S) OF ARGUMENTS OR REBUTTALS
(Elections Code Section 9600)


All arguments concerning measures filed pursuant to Division 9 of the Elections Code shall be accompanied by the following declaration to be signed by each author of the argument/rebuttal. Names and titles listed will be printed in the Voter Information portion of the Official Sample Ballot Booklet in the order provided below.




The undersigned author(s) of the: Argument in Favor Argument Against Rebuttal to Argument Against Rebuttal to Argument in Favor

of ballot measure Resolution 2022 R050 VOTE 16 at the General Election
(name and/or letter) (title of election)

election for the City of Culver City to be held on November 8, 2022
(date) hereby state that such argument is true and correct to the

best of his/her/their knowledge and belief.

1.	<u>Steven Gourley</u> Printed Name	 Signature
	<u>Former Mayor and School Board President</u> Title to Appear on Argument	<u>8/18/2022</u> Date
2.	_____ Printed Name	_____ Signature
	_____ Title to Appear on Argument	_____ Date
3.	_____ Printed Name	_____ Signature
	_____ Title to Appear on Argument	_____ Date
4.	_____ Printed Name	_____ Signature
	_____ Title to Appear on Argument	_____ Date
5.	_____ Printed Name	_____ Signature
	<u>Former Mayor and School Board President</u> Title to Appear on Argument	_____ Date

IMPORTANT FILING INFORMATION: I, Steven Gourley am the designated filer of the above titled argument/rebuttal. Please notify me of any questions pertaining to this filing. How is my contact information?
Mailing Address:  E-Mail Address: 
Contact Numbers:  Daytime Evening Fax

OFFICE USE ONLY

RECEIVED
CITY CLERK
OF LOS ANGELES COUNTY
AUG 19 2:33 PM '22
Time Stamp

	Word Counts
NUMBER OF WORDS:	
PROJECT CODE NUMBER:	
ELECTION DEPUTY:	

RECEIVED

2022 AUG 19 PM 2:39

CITY CLERK

What is the Culver City Council up to?

They:

1. Defunded the police department by 20% (after originally proposing 50%)
2. Fouled up downtown traffic in BOTH directions by eliminating or painting over lanes
3. Spent \$300,000 of taxpayer money to study reparations to Black people
4. Eliminated single-family-home zoning (so developers can now build a five-story apartment building next to your single-family home)
5. Spent over \$444,000 for a Rent Control Bureaucracy

NOW THEY WANT TO LET 16-YEAR-OLDS VOTE IN CULVER CITY'S GENERAL ELECTIONS.

These are not elections with training wheels so your children can “warm up” for the BIG elections. These ARE big elections to elect the people who make traffic and parking easier or harder; increase or decrease your police department; keep Culver City safe from the lawless elements that surround us; keep the homeless off our streets; keep pot stores away from our schools; or increase taxes to pay reparations to people of color.

Can you imagine our school board or our city council caving in on issues regarding shorter school hours or fewer days of school in order to carry the “high school vote”?

Will that 16- or 17-year-old voter reinforce your vote, dilute your vote, or merely cancel it out? Will that voter vote to raise YOUR taxes when you can't afford them, or fire your police department when you need it most?

Because the 16- and 17-year-old vote must be counted separately by Culver City, not by the County of Los Angeles, allowing 16-year-olds to vote will cost the City of Culver City an additional \$40,000 EACH election.

Now is the time for mature thinking. Vote “NO” on Measure [] [unnumbered].