

City of Culver City, California Single Audit Report Year Ended June 30, 2023 With Independent Auditor's Report



An independently owned member RSM US Alliance



City of Culver City, California Single Audit Report Year Ended June 30, 2023 with Independent Auditor's Report

PAGE

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT

Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with <i>Government Auditing Standards</i>	1
Report on Compliance for Each Major Federal Program, on Internal Control Over Compliance, and on the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards Required by the Uniform Guidance	3
SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS	6
NOTES TO SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS	8
SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS	9
SUMMARY SCHEDULE OF PRIOR AUDIT FINDINGS	11

655 N. Central Avenue Suite 1550 Glendale, CA 91203

www.vasquez.cpa

213-873-1700 OFFICE

LOS ANGELES SAN DIEGO IRVINE SACRAMENTO FRESNO PHOENIX LAS VEGAS MANILA, PH

Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*

The Honorable Mayor and the Members of the City Council City of Culver City, California

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the governmental activities, business-type activities, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the City of Culver City, California (the City), as of and for the year ended June 30, 2023, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the City's basic financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated February 20, 2024.

Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered the City's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit, we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies may exist that were not identified.







Report on Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the City's financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the financial statements. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the City's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

asynes & Company LLP

Glendale, California February 20, 2024

655 N. Central Avenue Suite 1550 Glendale, CA 91203

www.vasquez.cpa

213-873-1700 OFFICE

LOS ANGELES SAN DIEGO IRVINE SACRAMENTO FRESNO PHOENIX LAS VEGAS MANILA, PH

Independent Auditor's Report on Compliance for Each Major Federal Program, on Internal Control Over Compliance, and on the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards Required by the Uniform Guidance

The Honorable Mayor and the Members of the City Council City of Culver City, California

Report on Compliance for Each Major Federal Program

Opinion on Each Major Federal Program

We have audited the City of Culver City, California's (the City) compliance with the types of compliance requirements identified as subject to audit in the OMB *Compliance Supplement* that could have a direct and material effect on the City's major federal program for the year ended June 30, 2023. The City's major federal program is identified in the summary of auditor's results section of the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs.

In our opinion, the City complied, in all material respects, with the compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on its major federal program for the year ended June 30, 2023.

Basis for Opinion on Each Major Federal Program

We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAS); the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States (*Government Auditing Standards*); and the audit requirements of Title 2 U.S. *Code of Federal Regulations* Part 200, *Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards* (Uniform Guidance). Our responsibilities under those standards and the Uniform Guidance are further described in the Auditor's Responsibilities for the Audit of Compliance section of our report.

We are required to be independent of the City and to meet our other ethical responsibilities, in accordance with relevant ethical requirements relating to our audit. We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our opinion on compliance for each major federal program. Our audit does not provide a legal determination of the City's compliance with the compliance requirements referred to above.







Responsibilities of Management for Compliance

Management is responsible for compliance with the requirements referred to above and for the design, implementation, and maintenance of effective internal control over compliance with the requirements of laws, statutes, regulations, rules and provisions of contracts or grant agreements applicable to the City's federal programs.

Auditor's Responsibilities for the Audit of Compliance

Our objectives are to obtain reasonable assurance about whether material noncompliance with the compliance requirements referred to above occurred, whether due to fraud or error, and express an opinion on the City's compliance based on our audit. Reasonable assurance is a high level of assurance but is not absolute assurance and therefore is not a guarantee that an audit conducted in accordance with GAAS, *Government Auditing Standards*, and the Uniform Guidance will always detect material noncompliance when it exists. The risk of not detecting material noncompliance resulting from fraud is higher than for that resulting from error, as fraud may involve collusion, forgery, intentional omissions, misrepresentations, or the override of internal control. Noncompliance with the compliance requirements referred to above is considered material, if there is a substantial likelihood that, individually or in the aggregate, it would influence the judgment made by a reasonable user of the report on compliance about the City's compliance with the requirements of each major federal program as a whole.

In performing an audit in accordance with GAAS, *Government Auditing Standards*, and the Uniform Guidance, we:

- Exercise professional judgment and maintain professional skepticism throughout the audit.
- Identify and assess the risks of material noncompliance, whether due to fraud or error, and design and perform audit procedures responsive to those risks. Such procedures include examining, on a test basis, evidence regarding the City's compliance with the compliance requirements referred to above and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.
- Obtain an understanding of the City's internal control over compliance relevant to the audit in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with the Uniform Guidance, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control over compliance. Accordingly, no such opinion is expressed.

We are required to communicate with those charged with governance regarding, among other matters, the planned scope and timing of the audit and any significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in internal control over compliance that we identified during the audit.

Report on Internal Control Over Compliance

A *deficiency in internal control over compliance* exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program on a timely basis. A *material weakness in internal control over*



compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency in internal control over compliance* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the Auditor's Responsibilities for the Audit of Compliance section above and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies in internal control over compliance. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses, as defined above. However, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies in internal control over compliance that weaknesses or significant deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses, as defined above. However, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies in internal control may exist that were not identified.

Our audit was not designed for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, no such opinion is expressed.

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of the Uniform Guidance. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

Report on the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards Required by the Uniform Guidance

We have audited the financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the City as of and for the year ended June 30, 2023, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the City's basic financial statements. We issued our report thereon dated February 20, 2024, which contained unmodified opinions on those financial statements. Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise the basic financial statements. The accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal awards is presented for purposes of additional analysis as required by the Uniform Guidance and is not a required part of the basic financial statements. Such information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements. The information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements or to the basic financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the schedule of expenditures of federal awards is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the basic financial statements as a whole.

Vacques & Company LLP

Glendale, California March 27, 2024, except for our report on the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards, as to which the date is February 20, 2024

Federal Grantor/Pass-Through Grantor/Program or Cluster Title	Federal Assistance Listing Number	Pass-Through Entity or Grantor's Identifying Number	Total Provided to Total Federal <u>Subrecipients Expenditure</u>
U.S. Department of Transportation			
Direct Assistance: Federal Transit Cluster:			
Federal Transit Cluster. Federal Transit Capital and Operating Assistance Formula Grants (5307)	20.507	CA-2020-163-00	\$ - \$ 240.983
Federal Transit Capital and Operating Assistance Formula Grants (5307) Total Federal Transit Capital and Operating Assistance Formula Grants (5307) Total Federal Transit Cluster	20.507	CA-2022-136-00	- <u>5,497,803</u> - <u>5,738,786</u>
Passed-through the State of California, Department of Transportation: Highway Planning and Construction Cluster:			
Higuera Street Bridge Program	20.205	BHLS 5240026	- 3,180,777
Traffic Signal Left Turn Upgrades	20.205	HSIPL-5240020	- 145,891
Total Highway Planning and Construction Cluster			- 3,326,668
Passed-through the State of California, Office of Traffic Safety: Highway Safety Cluster:			
State and Community Highway Safety 2023	20.600	PT23098	- 15,634
State and Community Highway Safety 2022	20.600	PT22014	- 6,284
State and Community Highway Safety	20.600	PS22004	- 58,049
Total Highway Safety Cluster			- 79,967
Passed-through the State of California, Office of Traffic Safety:			
Minimum Penalties for Repeat Offenders for Driving While Intoxicated 2023	20.608	PT23098	- 12,806
Minimum Penalties for Repeat Offenders for Driving While Intoxicated 2022 Total for ALN# 20.608	20.608	PT22014	- 11,026 - 23,832
Total U.S. Department of Transportation			- 9,169,253
U.S. Department of Treasury			
Direct assistance:			
Asset Seizure Program (Treasury)	21.000	CA0191800	- 33,162
Total for ALN# 21.000			- 33,162
Coronavirus State and Local Fiscal Recovery Funds	21.027	NA	- 4,605,206
Passed through the State of California Department of Housing : Coronavirus State and Local Fiscal Recovery Funds -			
Homekey Interim and Permanent Supportive Housing	21.027	21-HK-17163	- 21,742,369
Total for ALN# 21.027			- 26,347,575 *
Total U.S. Department of Treasury			- 26,380,737

Federal Grantor/Pass-Through Grantor/Program or Cluster Title	Assistance Listing Number	Pass-Through Entity or Grantor's Identifying Number		Total Total Federal Expenditure
U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development				
Direct Assistance: Housing Voucher Cluster:				
Section 8 Housing Choice Vouchers	14.871	CA110		1,533,381
Total for Housing Voucher Cluster	14.071	CATTO		1,533,381
Family Self-Sufficiency Program	14.896	CA110	-	60,300
Total for ALN# 14.896			-	60,300
Passed-through the Los Angeles County Development Authority: CDBG- Entitlement Grants Cluster:				
Community Development Block Grants/Entitlement Grants	14.218	D96189-22	-	43,393
Community Development Block Grants/Entitlement Grants	14.218	602274-20	-	212,527
Community Development Block Grants/Entitlement Grants	14.218	602150-19		125,343
Total CDBG-Entitlement Grants Cluster				381,263
Total U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development				1,974,944
U.S. Department of Homeland Security Direct Assistance:				
Staffing for Adequate Fire and Emergency Response (SAFER)	97.083	EMW-2018-FH-00027	-	150,850
Passed through the County of Los Angeles:				
Emergency Management Performance Grant (EMPG)	97.042	2018 and 2021 EMPG	-	11,561
Passed through the City of Los Angeles:				
Urban Area Security Initiative (FY2021 UASI)	97.067	EMW-2021-SS-00081	-	42,181
Passed through the California Governor's Office of Emergency Services:				
Hazard Mitigation Grant (FEMA)	97.039	FEMA-4407-DR-CA		22,559
Total U.S. Department of Homeland Security				227,151
U.S. Department of Justice				
Direct Assistance:				
Equitable Sharing Program	16.922	NA	-	19,356
Passed-through from Bureau of Justice Assistance				
Bulletproof Vest Partnership Program	16.607	2021 BVP	-	8,180
	40 700			10 705
Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant Program Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant Program	16.738 16.738	15PBJA-21-GG-01171-JAGX 15PBJA-22-GG-02827-JAGX		12,785 14,468
Edward Byrne Menional Justice Assistance Grant Program	10.730	15FBJA-22-00-02027-JAGA		14,400
Total U.S. Department of Justice				54,789
Total Expenditures of Federal Awards			\$ <u> </u>	\$_37,806,874

* Denotes Major Program

See accompanying notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards.

NOTE 1 BASIS OF PRESENTATION

The accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal awards (SEFA) presents the activity of all federal financial assistance programs of the City of Culver City, California (the City). For purposes of this schedule, financial awards include federal awards received directly from a federal agency, as well as federal funds received indirectly by the City from a non-federal agency or other organization. Only the portions of program expenditures reimbursable with federal funds are reported in the SEFA. Program expenditures in excess of the maximum reimbursement authorized, if any, or the portion of the program expenditures that were funded with other state, local or other non-federal funds are excluded from the SEFA.

The information in the SEFA is presented in accordance with the requirements of Title 2 U.S. Code of Federal Regulations Part 200, *Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards* (Uniform Guidance). Because the SEFA presents only a selected portion of the operations of the City, it is not intended to and does not present the financial position, changes in net assets, or cash flows of the City.

NOTE 2 SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The accompanying SEFA is presented using the modified accrual basis of accounting for general fund, capital projects fund and special revenue funds and the accrual basis of accounting for the enterprise funds, which is described in Note 1 of the notes to the City's basic financial statements. Such expenditures are recognized following the cost principles contained in the Uniform Guidance, wherein certain types of expenditures are not allowable or are limited as to reimbursement.

The City has elected not to use the 10-percent de minimis indirect cost rate allowed in the Uniform Guidance.

NOTE 3 RELATIONSHIP TO FEDERAL FINANCIAL REPORTS

Grant expenditure reports for the year ended June 30, 2023, which have been submitted to grantor agencies, will, in some cases, differ from the amounts disclosed herein. The reports prepared for grantor agencies are typically prepared at a later date and often reflect refined estimates of the year-end accruals.

NOTE 4 RELATIONSHIP TO ANNUAL COMPREHENSIVE FINANCIAL REPORT

Amounts reported in the accompanying SEFA agree, in all material respects, to amounts reported within the City's Annual Comprehensive Financial Report.

Section I – Summary of Auditor's Results				
Financial Statements				
Type of auditors' report issued on whether the financial statements audited were prepared in accordance with GAAP:	Unmodified			
Internal control over financial reporting: Material weakness(es) identified? Significant deficiency(ies) identified? 	No None reported			
Noncompliance material to financial statements noted?	No			
Federal Awards				
Internal control over major program: Material weakness(es) identified? Significant deficiency(ies) identified? 	No None reported			
Type of auditors' report issued on compliance with respect to major federal program:	Unmodified			
Any audit findings disclosed that are required to be reported in accordance with Section 2 CFR 200.516(a)?	None			
Identification of Major Program:				
Assistance Listing NumberName of Federal Program or21.027Coronavirus State and Local Fisca				
Dollar threshold used to distinguish between Type A and Type B programs	\$1,134,206			
Auditee qualified as a low-risk auditee?	Yes			

Section II – Financial Statement Findings

No findings were noted.

Section III – Federal Award Findings

No findings were noted.

.

No findings were reported for the year ended June 30, 2022.



www.vasquez.cpa

Vasquez & Company LLP has over 50 years of experience in performing audit, accounting & consulting services for all types of nonprofit organizations, for-profit companies, governmental entities and publicly traded companies. Vasquez is a member of the RSM US Alliance. RSM US Alliance provides its members with access to resources of RSM US LLP. RSM US Alliance member firms are separate and independent businesses and legal entities that are responsible for their own acts and omissions, and each are separate and independent from RSM US LLP. RSM US LLP is the U.S. member firm of RSM International, a global network of independent audit, tax, and consulting firms. Members of RSM US Alliance have access to RSM International resources through RSM US LLP but are not member firms of RSM International. Visit rsmus.com/about us for more information regarding RSM US LLP and RSM International. The RSM[™] logo is used under license by RSM US LLP. RSM US Alliance products and services are proprietary to RSM US LLP.

655 N Central Avenue, Suite 1550 • Glendale, California 91203-1437 • Ph. (213) 873-1700 • Fax (213) 873-1777