ARGUMENT AND REBUTTAL FORM

ELECTION DATE: 11/3/2020

MEASURE I.D. (if any): Rent Control Proposal

JURISDICTION: City of Culver City

(Please mark (x) in the appropriate box)

☐ Argument in Favor
☐ Rebuttal to Argument Against
☒ Argument Against
☐ Rebuttal to Argument in Favor

Statements will be printed in uniform type, style and spacing. Use block paragraphs and single space format. Text submitted indented or centered will be typeset in block paragraph form. Entire statements in all capital letters are not acceptable. Indentations, circles, stars, dots, italics and/or bullets cannot be accommodated. However, you may use dashes/hyphens. Words to be printed in boldface type, underscored and/or CAPITALIZED are to be clearly indicated. Any combinations of enhanced words are counted as one word. All statements should be checked by the authors for spelling and punctuation as the elections official is not permitted to edit any material contained therein. NOTE: Rebuttal arguments are not direct arguments. For example, a rebuttal to a direct argument in favor of a measure is NOT a direct argument against a measure. Please also note that rebuttal arguments are allowed only when both a direct argument for AND against a measure are filed.

ALL AUTHORS MUST SIGN ON THE REVERSE SIDE

Please attach typed statement to this form. Statements should be typed in upper and lower case letters. Statement will be typeset in the Official Sample Ballot Booklet using TIMES NEW ROMAN font in 11 point size. However, statements can be submitted using any standard font.
Harden "Alex" Frisch, Vice Mayor, Culver City

Thomas Aviles Small, Culver City Council Member, 8/12/2020

Meghan Sahli-Wells, CULVER CITY COUNCIL MEMBER

8/11/2020
Declares by author(s) of arguments or rebuttals
(Elections Code Section 9600)

All arguments concerning measures filed pursuant to Division 9 of the Elections Code shall be accompanied by the following declaration to be signed by each author of the argument/rebuttal. Names and titles listed will be printed in the Voter Information portion of the Official Sample Ballot Booklet in the order provided below.

The undersigned author(s) of the:

| Argument in Favor | Rebuttal to Argument Against | Rebuttal to Argument in Favor |

of ballot measure [Rent Control Repeal] at the [General Municipal] election for the [City of Culver City] to be held on [11/3/2020]

hereby state that such argument is true and correct to the best of his/her/their knowledge and belief.

1. **Daniel Lee**
   - Printed Name: Daniel Lee
   - Title to Appear on Argument: City Council Member
   - Signature: [Blacked Out]
   - Date: 8/11/2020

2. **Harden "Alex" Fisch**
   - Printed Name: Harden "Alex" Fisch
   - Title to Appear on Argument: Vice Mayor, Culver City
   - Signature: [Blacked Out]
   - Date: 8/12/2020

3. **Thomas Arroyo-Small**
   - Printed Name: Thomas Arroyo-Small
   - Title to Appear on Argument: Council Member
   - Signature: [Blacked Out]
   - Date: 8/12/2020

4. **Meghan Sahli-Wells**
   - Printed Name: Meghan Sahli-Wells
   - Title to Appear on Argument: City Council Member
   - Signature: [Blacked Out]
   - Date: 8/12/2020

5. [Blank]
   - Printed Name:
   - Title to Appear on Argument:
   - Signature:
   - Date:

**IMPORTANT FILING INFORMATION:** I, Harden "Alex" Fisch, am the designated filer of the above titled argument/rebuttal. Please notify me of any questions pertaining to this filing. Below is my contact information.

Mailing Address: 9770 Culver Blvd, Culver City 90232

E-Mail Address: alexfisch@culvercity.org

Contact Numbers: Daytime: [Blacked Out], Evening: [Blacked Out], Fax: N/A

---

**OFFICE USE ONLY**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Word Counts</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NUMBER OF WORDS:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PROJECT CODE NUMBER:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ELECTION DEPUTY:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Time Stamp:** 10/18/2019
MEASURE ___ puts Culver City families at risk. Vote NO on Measure ___.

- Measure ___ risks putting Culver City residents on the street.

Measure ___ would retroactively repeal renter protections in Culver City. The measure would likely subject Culver City residents to a wave of evictions and price hikes during an unprecedented public health and economic crisis. Culver City’s rent control ordinance and eviction moratorium have helped keep thousands of families, including seniors, children, and long-term community members, securely housed. This is the worst possible time to repeal these protections.

- Measure ___ is confusing.

Measure ___ states that voters should decide on rent control, but it only takes away existing renter protections. Any solutions for renters would have to wait for some unknown future ballot measure. The only way to protect current tenants is to vote NO on Measure ___.

- Measure ___ makes local law inflexible to changing circumstances.

Today, the City Council can tailor landlord-tenant regulation to account for local conditions, respond to changed economic circumstances, and fine tune local law guided by expert advice and broad community input. Under Measure ___, if a future rent control measure needs adjustment, it could only be amended by another ballot measure, potentially years later.

- A NO vote preserves the people’s right to present a ballot initiative in the future.

The People of Culver City will always have the right to vote on an initiative for different or better landlord-tenant regulations, but now is not the time to repeal renter protections. We must not thrust Culver City families into potential dislocation and homelessness during a pandemic.

VOTE NO ON MEASURE ___.