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Vote NO on Measure B if you want to preserve existing local renter protections, and keep Culver City families, seniors, and disabled residents safely housed.

Culver City has enacted rent stabilization measures that have provided tenants with more protection over the past year than any state or federal law. They were carefully crafted with community input, a comprehensive study of our rental market, the firsthand experiences of Culver City renters, and ample feedback from landlords. Measure B, bankrolled with thousands of dollars of outside money, would take our local protections away. This could lead to unaffordable retroactive rent hikes and immediate evictions for many households.

Landlords in an organized PAC funded the signature gathering campaign that qualified Measure B for the ballot. That tells you exactly what Measure B is about: repealing local renter protections that have helped Culver City renters during tough times.

Even before the Coronavirus pandemic, rents were rising much faster than many incomes, with 43% of Culver City tenants paying between 30% to over 50% of their household incomes on rent. Now, with families facing severe financial impacts from the pandemic, taking away protections and raising the rent would be devastating.

We can’t afford more evictions and more homelessness.

Vote NO on Measure B.