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Those who just say NO must have short memories for they forgot to mention that the purported abuses they cite in 1998 occurred when the Chiefs reported directly to the City Council, just as they do now.

Both our current Chiefs support this change. They don’t want to have to play politics by currying the favor of three Council members to keep their jobs. And what if the majority on the Council changes in the next election? Besides that, who would want to report to and please five independently elected officials? Not very professional but very political.

There is a role for the part-time City Council and it is not to attempt to run the City. Rather, it is to focus on developing policies that respond to our residents’ needs and to ensure the full-time, professional City Manager, who is appointed by and who is fully accountable to the City Council, carries out those policies. That’s the Council-Manager form of government, one of the oldest, most respected and most common forms of local governance in California. In 2006, the voters overwhelmingly supported the move to the Council-Manager model. That is working well. Let’s build on that decision.

Give our City Manager the authority needed to fully perform the job and hold the Council accountable for the results. Vote Yes on Measure CA and let’s keep council politics out of the police and fire departments!
Jim B. Clarke  Mayor, City of Culver City

Andrew N. Weissman  Former Mayor, Charter Review Subcommittee

Cecan Eriksson  City Council Member, City of Culver City
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